G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Dw 15 of 1908 3821-6

Later references.

L. R. 697-14

1913.

(Mysore)

File No. 20-13

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 5

Part B for April 1915

SUBJECT.

Appeal against alienation of inam lands endowed to the Sri Panchamukha Anjaneya Devaru temple in Lashkar Mohalla, Mysore City

1) From Subbachar of Mysore Dy 7.7.13 Appeal against the Dy Commr's order

2) To The Dy Commr Mysore Dt No 287 Dy 29.7.13 Forwards the above petn for report with records

GPB—3,500—21-7-14

G.R.S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 20-13

FILE HEADING.

Alleged alienation of the inam lands endowed to Sri Panchamukhi Anjaneyaswamy temple in Lashkar Mohalla, Mysore.

From

Archak Subbachar.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 7. 7. 1913. Received

Diary No. 78	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Mysore Dy. Commrs'. order directing that S. nos. 5 and 418 of Kadisala (devadaya) village in the Chamarajnagar Taluk said to be inam be made over to the decree-holder Kannambadi Srinivasaiyangar.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

8 A copy of the Dy. Commrs'. order appealed against is received with the petition.

The Dy. Commr Mr. Subramanya Iyer says that he remembers the facts of the case, having dealt with The case as under-Secretary, Muzrai Branch

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6 12

2 (2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 20 of 1913

Serial No.

that he does not think that the land refd to is Devadaya and has ordered that the possession of the lands be made over to Kannambadi Srinivasa Iyengar in the Rev. Deptt who has obtained a decree from the Civil Courts.

Archak Subbachar has appealed to Govt against the foregoing order. He contends that the lands are noted as Devadaya in the Title-deed issued by the Inam Deptt, that there is evidence in the Records connected with the case to show that the lands are Devadaya and prays that after a perusal of the records, the alienation may be cancelled and the lands restored to the institn. He adds that pending disposal of his appeal, the Dy. Commrs order appealed against may be held in abeyance.

Submitted for orders of Govt. Connected
16.7.13 A report with records may be called for from the Dy Comr. There seems to be no necessity for a stay order.

17.7.13 17

18.7.13

21/7/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 20 of 1913 | 3

Serial No.

Enclosed Letter Dft
W (132)
24/7/13 Rly

affd
26/7/13

2. To the Dy Commr Dharwar.
No. 287 Dt 29.7.13
Forwards the above perm. for report with records.
No. 288 Intimates the report
Archak Subbachar of the above.

3. From, the Dy Commr Mysore Dt
Letter no 6 Dt 6-7-1914
Reports the circumstances under which the S. nos. 5 and 418 of Indigala village, Chamarajnagar Taluk were ordered to be made over to Kaumambadi Srinivasiengar—
Muz. files nos 152 of 1903-04 and 236-08 are herewith submitted. The office-note therein gives the previous history of the case.
From Muz 2 no 152 of 1903 it will be seen that in Feby 1904, the Deputy Commr, Mysore Dist, reported that S. nos 5 and 418 of Indigala village, were being shown in the old cowaili accounts as "Anjaneya Swami archak Bhata

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 20 of 1913

Serial No.

and in the Survey Settlement accounts as "Devadaya Panchamukhi Anjaneya Swami" but that in their order of 15th January 1895, Govt have directed that the pattas of the lands endowed to the Temples should be made in the name of the God instead of Pujaries - He recommends that the patta of the land in question be made out in the name of Panchamukhi Anjaneya Swami - After consulting the Inam Supdt, the Dy. Commr's recommendation was sanctioned in Govt Proc. no. 3430-1 Dy 2nd May 1904.

794

(Vide F. no. 236-08) put up

Archak Venkataramanachar, father of the applt Subbachar, owed some money to Kanmambadi Srinivasayya who filed a suit and got a decree against Venkataramanachar - By the time the decree was executed, Venkataramanachar had died leaving two minor sons (applt Subbachar and another), under the care of his brother in-law Murti Rao. When Kanmambadi Srinivasaiengar got the decree executed and proceeded against a house & 2 shops in Mysore belonging to the late Venkataramanachar, Murti

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 20 of 1913

Serial No.

7.

intercedded on behalf of the minors and gave 5 nos 5 4/16 (which were Brahmadaya lands) for the personal benefit of Pujari Venkatachariar in exchange. This was opposed by the Court and Srinivasiengar was put in possession of the lands, but he never enjoyed any profits from the lands.

Some time after Correspondence began as to whether these lands were personal or Devadaya, and in their order dy 2d May 1904 ref 45, Govt directed that the lands be treated as Devadaya—

4

Kaundambadi Srinivasiengar filed a Civil Suit against the applt and his brother, the builder of Chamarajapuram and the cultivator of the lands—the Dy. Commr solicited orders and in their letter no 903 dy 25th Septr 1909, Govt observed that they did not consider it worth their while to defend the suit at Govt ~~such~~ cost. The Court passed an order in favor of Srinivasiengar—

7a x

Archak Subbachar then

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 20 of 191 3

Serial No.

praying Rs 4 - the assessment on the lands - may be paid to the Temple.

79 IV

The Dy. Commr has ordered that the lands be made over to Kannambadi Srinivasiengar and the Temple has no manner of right over the lands or the assessment thereon -

Archak Subbacher has

79 V

appealed to Govt praying for Govt interference in respect of these lands and their restoration for the benefit of the Temple.

Submtd - for orders

MM 11/57
26-12-1914.
GL
2-1-15

The appellant - may be given an opportunity of the hearing. Post the case for hearing

AV

Lee
4-1-15.

29 16 January 1915

Submtd. with Dft. Notice

MM 11/57
4-1-1915 GL

Lee
6-1

Submtd. with Dft. Notice to 2nd March 1915.

MM 11/57
16-2-1915.

Lee
16/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-12-14

7

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 .

Serial No.

Subd to V.P. member

Having decided to depend the Seal, it would not be correct to set aside the decision of the Civil Court who has found for Srinivasa Dasaya. The Archak does not come with clean hands and is really unable himself to make good the loss to the temple if he really believes in his plea that the lands are Devadaya. Srinivasa Dasa's right to the lands commenced from a time long anterior to the conversion of the land into Devadaya, a name which was probably assigned by the Archak himself. The deity is in no worse plight than what it was in before 1908. The appeal may be dismissed

Rd. m.

27/

Sd
23.2.15

We can do nothing in the case.

But after registration as 'Devadaya', the Pt decree holder

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2 12-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

has no right to enjoy it as inam. The Dy Cr may be asked to consider the matter & take such steps as may be open to resume the inam &c. ~~& in such other~~

2/3/15

Draft endorsement and a covering letter to Dy Coll

Submd. with Pfs.

MM 1157
6.3.1915. BG
17.3.15

Agd
Sd
sla

4 To. Def Supne — No: 2171 dy 9/4/15
for copy of endorst by Asstt Subbaiah (Sl 5 below) observes that as the Inam lands in question are described as "Devadaya lands" in Govt Rly Pfs No 3430-1 dy 2nd May '14, Steps may be taken to resume the lands Govt, & take over the Records —

5 No 2172 dy 9.4.15 — to the Asstt Supne stating that the Govt cannot do anything in the case.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-12-14




FILE NO. 20-13
SERIAL NO. 1



3

Copy of order passed by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District on 3-7-1913 in re claim of archak Subbier cheer of the panchamukhi Anjneya Swami temple to Survey nos. 5 and 41/8 of Kirigala Village in the Chamarajanagar Taluk.

Archak Subba cheer and Kamambadi Srinivasiengar present and heard.

I will remember the facts of the case having dealt with it as Undersecretary Muzrai Department and cannot uphold the action of taking the land under Government management. I am not satisfied that it is a Devadaya Inam really.

Kamambadi Srinivasiengar in Lr. dt.

a

12 suit in the District Judge's Court and obtained a decree for the lands. The Amildar of Chamarajnagar is a party to the suit. possession of the lands should at once be made over to Kannambadi Srinivasa Iyengar in the Revenue Dept., and they should have nothing to do with the land hereafter.

As regards the claim for produce K. Srinivasa Iyengar can if at all get any from the date on which he obtained possession through the civil Court ie. 14-3-1910 The value of the produce of the years previous to that cannot be claimed by him legally and may well be made over to the archak. From the money order Emp an produced by the archak I find that as a matter of fact the value of the produce of previous years except Somnya has been made over the archak.

Amildar reports that a sum

of Rs 123-3-3 is in deposit. He is requested to report how much of this is the value of the produce of each year. On receipt of this information orders will be passed about the apportionment of this amount among the archak and Kamanka-di Shiniwasiengar. The information should be furnished before the 25th instant without fail.

Archak has presented a petition No. 466 of date 28-8-1912 to the Dewan claiming the produce for Sowmya and assessment for subsequent years. Evidently he means that the district judge's decree makes over the land to K. Shiniwasiengar and that on account of such alienation the land should be fully assessed and their assessment

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MYSORE DISTRICT COURT

from K. Srinivasiengar and a cash grant equivalent to that amount should be sanctioned to the temple. This prayer would have been considered if I believed that there were grounds to think the land to be devadaya. I do not think the land ~~to be~~ is kgv devadaya and shall not therefore consider the prayer.

(SD) A. Subramanya Iyer.

3rd July 1913.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

"Copy"

Abimanavandhu
District Sheristedar,
Dy: Commissioner's Office,
Mysore District.

Compd
KSV

Date of Copy applied for 4-7-13
— Do — ready on 4-7-13.
— Do — delivered on 4-7-13
number of words. 411
Copying fees .0-10-0.
Comparing fees .0-1-8
Total 0-11-8

(General No 21884)

Issued in lieu of the same number and date cancelled / 15

Superintendent of Inam Settlement in Mysore

FINAL TITLE-

Extract from the Registe

Hobli Village ಹೋಬಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ	Serial No. ಜಂ ಜರಿ ನಂ.	Name of Inamdar ಇನಾಮದಾರ್ರ ಹೆಸರು	Description of inam ಇನಾಮಿನ ತರಹಾ	Conditions of grant ಗ್ರಾಂಟಿನ ತರಹಾ	Description of land ಜಮೀನಿನ ತರಹಾ	Survey No. ಸರ್ವೇ ನಂ.	Inam ಇನಾಮು ಸರ್ವೇ ಎಕರೆ.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	63				2nd 5 2nd 418		

Inam Settlement Office,

Dated 26th July 1904

ED ಕಾಯಂ ನನ್ನದು

05 Nr 6 of 08-09

Reference to the Written Statement by Mr R Ramanna 7 4 07

f the Minor Inams confirmed.

ಹಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಯಮಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಜಿಲ್ಲರೀ ಇನಾಂ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ ಎಕಸ್ಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟ್.

confirmed ಮಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇನಾಂ		Quit-rent to Government ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್ ಮುಂತಾದ್ದು					
area ಜಸ ಕವರೀ ಗಾಟೆ.	Survey assessment ಸರ್ವೇ ಜೀರೀಜ್ ರೂಪಾಯಿ	Former jodi ಸಾಮಿಕ ಜೋಡಿ	Quit-rent now imposed ಹಾಲಿ ಮೊಕರರ್ ಮಾ ಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್	Additional Quit Rent ~~Inam Kasar~~ ಇನಾಂ ಕಸರ್	Total ಜುಮ್ಲಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲರೆ ತಿಮಾಯಿ	Whether enfranchised or not ಎಂಬ ವಿವರವನ್ನು ಜಿಲ್ಲರೆ	Remarks ಷರಾ
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
18	1 12 a						
4	2 4 "		- 12 "	- - -	- 12 "	- - -	
22	4 " "						

(Sd) J. P. Grant

Superintendent of Survey and Inam Settlements.

Mun 20-13-2

13.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 78 dated 7.7.1913.
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Subbachar, Archak
of Sri Panchamukhi Anjaneya
Swamy temple in Laskar Mohalla,
Mysore, appealing against the
Mysore Dy. Commrs. order directing
that S. nos. 5 and 418 of Indigala
village in the Chamarajnagar
Taluk said to be Devadaya
inam, be made over to the
decree holder Kannambadi
Srinivasiengar,

is forwarded, togther with its 2 enclosures,
to the Dy. Commr. Mysore
Dist.
for report
with record.

By Order

(Crs. order)
Dft

for Secretary

BANGALORE,
.....191

P. T. O.

GPB—1,000—13-2-12

17 no 288 dt 29 July 1913.

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾದಾರ್ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....

..

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ~~ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ~~ ..... ದಿನಾಂಕ

~~ಹಕ್ಕುಪತ್ರದ~~ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary.

30-7-13

DIARY NO. 41

1014-15
SECTION Mubne
FILE No. 20-13
SERIAL No. 3

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Gen + Rev. Depts
Mugrai branch

Dated 6/7/14

Despatched

Received

General No. 6
No.

Enclosure No. are file

Submits report with records on the petition of Subbachar archak of Sri Panchamukhi Anjaneya swami temple at Doddapetta, Mysore.

GPB—50,000—26-9-12

No 6 KB
Ex: 1916

10

Dy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated 6th July 1914.

From

A. Subrahmanya Iyer Esqr BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao Esqrs
BA, BL
Offg: Secretary to Govt.
Gen: & Rev: Depts.
Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

With reference to your C. M. No 287 Muz:
20 – 13 – 2 dated 29th July 1913 calling for a
report with records on the petition of Subbachar
Archak of the Sri Panchamukhi Anjaneyaswami
in Lashkar Mohalla Mysore appealing against
this office order directing that Survey Nos 5 & 418
of Hedigala village in the Chamarajnagar Taluk
said to be Devadaya inam be made over to
Kammambadi Srinivasiengar decree holder &c.
I have the honor to state as follows:—

The temple in question has been endowed
with Inam lands in Mysore Taluk. Survey
Nos 5 and 418 in Chamaraj nagar Taluk now
said to be Devadaya lands for the benefit of
temple in question were originally Brahmadaya
the personal benefit of Venkatramanachar
the said Sri Anjaneya swami –

petitioner Subbachar owed some money to Kannambadi Srinivasiengar who filed a civil suit and got a decree against the said Venkatarmanachar and by the time the decree was executed Venkatarmanachar had died leaving two sons (Appellant Subbachar and another) both minors under the care of his brother-in-law Murti Rao. When Kannambadi Srinivasiengar got the decree executed and proceeded against the property viz a house and 2 shops in Doddapet Mysore which belonged to the appellants' father, this Moorty Rao is said to have interceded and to have given the Bhatamanya lands viz Survey Nos 5 & 418 in the Hedigal village, in Exchange, this Exchange was approved of by the Court and Kannambadi Srinivasiengar put in possession of the lands, but he never enjoyed any produce of the lands.

Sometime after Correspondence began as to whether the Bhatamanya lands given to K. Srinivasiengar in Exchange for the house and shops were personal or Devadaya when it was ordered in Govt Rs: No 3430-1 dated 2nd May 1904 that the land be treated as Devadaya and that necessary alteration be made in the Joint Rent Register --

Kannambadi Srinivasiengar thereupon filed a civil suit against Archak Subbachar, Mallian the cultivator of the land and the Amildar Chama-raja Nagar Taluk.

Correspondence then went on as to whether the case should be defended by the Amildar at Government cost as the interests of the temple were involved, but it was ordered in letter No. 903 Mis. 7 236-08-10 dated 25th September 1909 from the Secretary that the Government did not consider it worth their while to defend the suit at Government cost and the Court passed their order in favor of Kannambadi Srini-vasiengar.

Archak Subbachar then petitioned to Government praying for the payment of at least Rs. 4/ the assessment on the land towards the maintenance of the temple, which was forwarded to this Office for disposal.

On perusing the records and hearing the parties it was found that the lands were Devadaya, that Kannambadi Srinivas Iyengar

of the District Judge and I therefore ordered that the lands be made over to Kannambadi Srinivas Iyengar and that the temple had no manner of right over the lands or the assessment thereon.

The present appeal is against this order.

The entire file of papers on the subject ending with Nanjangud Sub Dy officer's memo No. 31 dated 1st August 1913 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

G. R. S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 141

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191 .

Date of issue 9. 4. 1915

Signed by M. G. Aravamudhan

Re S. nos. 5 & 418 of Hadu village alleged to belong to Sri Panchamukhi Anjaneya Swami Temple at Mysore.

File No. 20
Serial No. 73

No. 2171 Estate

To The Dy. Commissioner, Mysore Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 6 dy 6th July 14 reporting on the petition of Subbachar, Archak of Sri Panchamukhi Anjaneya Swami Temple in Lashkar Mohalla, Mysore, appealing against the Dy. Comm's. order directing that S. nos. 5 and 418 of Hadu village

over.

SUBJECT.

in the Chamarajnagar Taluk
be made over to the decree-
holder Kaumambadi Srinivasiengar,
I am directed to forward
herewith a copy of the
order issued to the appt
stating that Govt can do
nothing in the case.

I am to observe that
the lands in question having been
registered under the authority of Govt Proc no. 3430-1 Dy
as devadaya dt 2d May 1904
in the village accounts, the
decree holder has no right
to enjoy them as village
and to request that
he is to send us to take the orders on the consideration
will be in good time to consider
into consideration and adopt
the matter and take
such steps as may be
open to resume the
village etc.

The records bearing
on the subject are herewith —

List of enclosures

I have etc
Sd/-
for Secretary.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25 000—4 3 13

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No 2172 Dt 9 4 1915
Mms 30-13-5

GPB—12,000—15-2-13